

# Connected, Compactness and separation axioms via (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets in

# bitopological spaces

Conexidad, compacidad y axiomas de separación vía conjuntos (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -abiertos en espacios bitopológicos

# Carlos Granados<sup>a</sup>; Eduin Rodríguez<sup>b</sup>; Judith Bermúdez<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Magister en Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Barranquilla, Colombia, carlosgranadosortiz@outlook.es

<sup>b</sup> Magister en Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad de la Costa, Barranquilla, Colombia, eduinrodriguezc1987@gmail.com

<sup>c</sup> Magister en Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad del Atlático, Barranquilla, Colombia, yudithb30@gmail.com

Correspondencia: yudithb30@gmail.com

#### Resumen

En este artículo, se utiliza la noción de conjuntos (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -abiertos para introducir las nociones de (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -conexo, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compacto, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ -espacio, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ -espacio e (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ -espacio. Adicionalmente, algunas de sus propiedades y caracterizaciones son probadas.

*Palabras clave:* Espacios bitopológicos, generalizaciones de conjuntos abiertos, conexidad y compacidad .

# 1. Introduction and preliminaries.

The notion of bitopological space was introduced by Kelly [8] in 1963, the study of open and closed sets in bitopological spaces have increased in several field of general topology. Additionally, it is well know that the concept of compactness is one of the most important subject in general topology and it has a very important role in the theory of topological spaces, bitopological spaces and much more. On the other hand, connected spaces is very important in general topology. Recently, Granados [5] studied  $\omega$ - $\mathcal{N}$ - $\alpha$ -open sets on connected spaces and showed some of their properties. Furthermore, the notion of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets, (i, j)- $\alpha$ -continuous and conta (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous functions were introduced by Granados see([4, 3]).

In this paper, we show and investigate various properties of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ -space, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ -space, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ -space. Besides, we prove some of their properties.

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  means a bitopological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. Moreover, we sometimes write X instead of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

#### Recibido: Julio 8, 2022. Aceptado: Agosto 20,2022. Publicado: Agosto 31, 2022

#### Abstract:

In this paper, we use the notion of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets to introduce the concepts of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ -space, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ -space and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ -space. Furthermore, we prove and show some of their properties and characterizations.

*Keywords:* Bitopological spaces, generalized open sets, connected and compactness.

Now, we write some definitions which are useful for the developing of this paper.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A \subset X$ , then A is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set [4] if  $Int_{\tau_i}(Cl_{\tau_j}(A)) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is (i, j)- $\alpha$ -open set where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ . The complement of an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set is called (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{q, w, e, r\}, \tau_i = \{\emptyset, X, \{q\}, \{w\}, \{q, w\}, \{q, w, r\}\}$  and  $\tau_j = \{\emptyset, X, \{q\}, \{e\}, \{q, e\}, \{q, e, r\}\}$ . Then,  $\{q, w\}$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set.

**Definition 2.** [4] The intersection of all (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closure of A and it is denoted by (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -Cl(A).

**Definition 3.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous [4] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed (respectively, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open) set of X for every  $\sigma_j$ -closed (respectively,  $\sigma_j$ -open) set V of Y where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 4.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute if [4]  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed (re-



spectively,  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -open) set of X for every  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -closed (respectively,  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -open) set V of Y where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 5.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous [3] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ closed set of X for every  $\sigma_j$ -open set V of Y where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 6.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute if [3]  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set of X for every (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set V of Y where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 7.** A collection U of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is said to be pairwise open [2] if  $U \subset \tau_i \cup \tau_j$  and for each  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}, U \cap \tau_i$  contains a non-empty set. Besides, U is said to be pairwise open cover of X if U covers X.

**Definition 8.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise compact [2] if every pairwise open cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Definition 9.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopolgical space. Then, X si said to be pairwise C-compact [9] if for each pair of point  $\tau_j$ -closed A of X and each subsets pairwise open on U of A, exits a finite sub-family of elements U,  $V_1, V_2, V_3, ..., V_n$  such

that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1} \tau_j$ -ClV<sub>j</sub>, where  $i \neq j$  where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

# **2.** Separation axioms via (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets

In this section, we define and study the concepts of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ -space, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ -space and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ -space. Throughout this section  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a bitopological space where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 10.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$  if for every pair of distinct points in X, there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set of X containing one of points but not the other.

**Theorem 1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot T_0$  if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X,  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot Cl(\{x\}) \neq (i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot Cl(\{y\})$ 

*Proof.* Let (X, τ<sub>1</sub>, τ<sub>2</sub>) be an (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-T<sub>1</sub> space and x, y any two distinct points of X. Then, there exits an (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-open set V containing x or y, say, x but not y. Then, X − V is an (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-closed set, that does not contain x but contains y. Since (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl{y} is the smallest (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-closed set containing y, (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}) ⊂ X − V, and so x ∉ (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}). Consequently, (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({x}) ≠ (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}). Conversely, let x, y ∈ X, x ≠ y and (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({x}) ≠ (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}). Then, there exits a point z ∈ X such that z belongs to one of the two sets, say, (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({x}) but no to (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({x}) ⊂ (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}), which is a contradiction with z ∉ (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}). Therefore, x ∈ X − (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}), but X − (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-Cl({y}) is an (*i*, *j*)-α<sup>m</sup>-open

set and does not contain y. In consequence,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ .

**Definition 11.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$  if fore every pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exits a pair (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets one containing x but not y and the other containing y but not x.

**Remark 1.** It is clear that every (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_0$ , but the converse need not be true.

**Theorem 2.** For a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ .
- 2. Each singleton subset of X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed in X.
- 3. Each subset of X is the intersection of all (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets containing it.
- The intersection of all (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open sets containing the point x ∈ X is the set {x}.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $x \in X$ . Then, by the part (1) of this Theorem, for any  $y \in X$ ,  $y \neq x$ , there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set  $U_y$  containing y but not x. Indeed,  $y \in U_y \subset X - \{x\}$ . Now, varying y over  $X - \{x\}$ , we have  $X - \{x\} = \bigcup \{U_y : y \in X - \{x\}\}$ . Hence,  $X - \{x\}$  being an union of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets. Therefore,  $\{x\}$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : If  $U \subset X$ , then for each point  $y \notin U$ , there exits a set  $X - \{y\}$  such that  $U \subset X - \{y\}$  and each of these sets  $X - \{y\{ \text{ is } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-open. Therefore, } U = \bigcap \{X - \{y\} : y \in X - U\}$  and so the intersection of all  $(i, j) - \alpha^m$ -open sets containing U is the set U itself.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ : It is clear.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then, there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets, say,  $V_x$  such that  $y \notin V_x$ . Similarly, there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set  $V_y, x \notin V_y$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ .

**Lemma 1.** If every finite subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed, then it is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $\{x\}$  and  $\{y\}$  are (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed sets in X. Thus,  $X - \{x\}$  and  $X - \{y\}$  are (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets of X such that  $x \in X - \{y\}$  and  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 12.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  if for every pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exits a pair of disjoint (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets, one containing x and the other containing y.

**Remark 2.** It is clear that every (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_1$ , but the converse need not be true.

**Theorem 3.** For a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ .
- 2. Let  $x \in X$ . For each  $y \neq x$ , there exits  $U \in (i, j)$ - $\alpha^m BO(X, x)$  and  $y \notin (i, j)$ - $\alpha^m$ -Cl(U).
- 3. For ech  $x \in X$ ,  $\bigcap \{(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot Cl(V_x) : V_x \text{ is an } (i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot open \text{ set containing } x\} = \{x\}.$

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \neq x$ . Then, there exits disjoint (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Clearly, X - V is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed. Indeed, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $Cl(U) \subset X - V$  and then  $y \notin (i, j)$ - $\alpha^m$ -Cl(U).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If  $y \neq x$ , then there exits  $V \in (i, j) \cdot \alpha^m BO(X, x)$ and  $y \notin (i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot Cl(V)$ . Therefore,  $y \notin \bigcap \{(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m \cdot Cl(V) : V \in (i, j) \cdot \alpha^m BO(X, x)\}.$ 

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1): \text{Let } x, y \in X \text{ such that } x \neq y. \text{ Then, } y \notin \{x\} = \bigcap \{(i, j) - \alpha^m - Cl(V) : V \in (i, j) - \alpha^m BO(X, x)\}. \text{ Therefore, } y \notin (i, j) - \alpha^m - Cl(V) \text{ for some } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-open set } V \text{ containing } x. \text{ Clearly, } V \text{ and } X - (i, j) - \alpha^m - Cl(V) \text{ are the required } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-open sets containing } x \text{ and } y, \text{ respectively.}$ 

**Definition 13.** If A is both (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ closed set of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then A is called (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen, where  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 4.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  if and only if for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set V containing one of them but not the other.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-T<sub>2</sub> space and  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$  implies that there exits two disjoint (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Since  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and V is an (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open set,  $x \in U \subset X - V$  and X - V is (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-closed. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-T<sub>2</sub> for each  $x \in X - V$  there exits and (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open set  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x \subset X - V$ . Then, X - V is (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open. In consequence, X - V is an (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-coplen set. Conversely, suppose for every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exits an (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-open set and  $y \in X - U$ . Since  $U \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$ ,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-T<sub>2</sub> space.

**Theorem 5.** For a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ .
- The intersection of all (i, j)-α<sup>m</sup>-coplen sets of each point in X is singleton.
- 3. For a finite number of distinct points  $\{x_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$ , there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set  $H_i$  such that  $\{H_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$  are pairwise disjoint.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  space and  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $\bigcap \{H : H \text{ is } (i, j)$ - $\alpha^m$ -coplen and  $x \in H\} = \{x, y\}$  where  $x \neq y$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ , there

exists two disjoint (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Then,  $x \in U \subset X - V$ , indeed X - V is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set and also it is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed. Therefore, X - V is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set containing x but not y, which is a contradiction. This implies that the intersection of all (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen sets containing x is  $\{x\}$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} (2) \Rightarrow (3): \mbox{ Let } \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \mbox{ be a finite number of distinct points of X. Then, by part (2) of this Theorem, } \{x_i = \bigcap \{B : B is (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-coplen set and } x_i \in B\} \mbox{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n. \mbox{ Since } x_j \in \{x_i\}, \mbox{ for } i, j = 1, 2, ..., n \mbox{ and } i \neq j, \mbox{ there exits an } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-coplen set } B_0 \mbox{ such that } x_i \in B_0 \mbox{ and } x_j \notin B_0 \mbox{ for } i \neq j \mbox{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \mbox{ Then, } x_i \in X - B_0, \mbox{ where } X - B_0 \mbox{ is an } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-coplen set and } B_0 \cap (X - B_0) = \emptyset. \mbox{ Indeed, } X - B_0 \mbox{ is an } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-open set containing } x_i. \mbox{ Therefore, for each } i, \mbox{ there exits pairwise disjoint } (i, j) - \alpha^m \text{-open sets } H_i \mbox{ for } \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \mbox{ (3)} \Rightarrow (1): \mbox{ It is clear. } \end{tabular}$ 

#### **3.** (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected

In this section, we define and study the concepts of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -set-connected and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected. Besides we show some results on (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous functions. Throughout this section  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a bitopological space where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 14.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, X is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected if X cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets.

**Definition 15.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, X is said to be pairwise connected [10] if it cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint sets U and V such that U is  $\tau_i$ -open and V is  $\tau_j$ -open, where  $i \neq j$ .

**Proposition 1.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous and surjection function, besides X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected, then Y is pairwise connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is not pairwise connected. Then, there exits  $U \in \sigma_i$  and  $V \in \sigma_j$  such that  $U, V \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $U \cup V = Y$ . Since f is surjection, it has  $f^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Besides, since f is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -continuous, it has  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -open and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -open such that  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V) = X$ . This implies that X is not  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ -connected, which is a contradiction. In consequence Y is pairwise connected.

**Proposition 2.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute and surjection function, besides X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected, then Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the Proposition 1.

**Definition 16.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -set-connected if f(x) is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between f(A) and f(B) in the bitopological space X which is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -set-connected if and only if  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ coplen set of X for any (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen F set of Y.

*Proof.* **NECESSARY**: Let f be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -set-connected and F be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set of Y. Now, suppose that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is not (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set of X, then X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between  $f^{-1}(F)$  and  $X - f^{-1}(F)$ . Since f is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -setconnected, Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between  $f(f^{-1}(F))$  and  $f(X - f^{-1}(F))$ . But,  $f(f^{-1}(F)) = F \cap Y = F$  and f(X - F) $f^{-1}(F) = Y - F$ , in consequence F is not (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -clopen set of Y and this is a contradiction. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set of X.

**SUFFICIENCY:** Let  $f^{-1}(F)$  be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -clopen set of X for any (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen F set of Y and let X be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ connected between A and B. Now, suppose that Y is not (i, j)- $\alpha^{m}$ -connected between f(A) and f(B), then there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen F set of Y such that  $f(A) \subset F \subset Y - f(B)$ . But,  $A \subset f^{-1}(F) \subset X - B$  and  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set of X and this is a contradiction, because X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected. Therefore, f is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected. 

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function (i, j)- $\alpha^{m}$ -set-connected and  $A \subset X$  such that f(A) is an (i, j)-coplen set of Y. Then, the restriction  $f|_A : A \to Y$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -setconnected.

*Proof.* Let A be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space between B and C. Then, X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between B and C of Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between f(B) and f(C). Since f(A) is an (i, j)coplen set of Y, then f(A) is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between f(B) and f(C).

**Definition 17.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, X is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected if the (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ closure of any (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set, where  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -set-connected. If Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  space and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected, then  $f|_A: A \to Y$  is constant for every (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected subset A of X.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \neq y$ . Suppose that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  in Y. Since Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  space and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected, there exits (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set U of Y such that  $f(x) \in U$  and  $f(y) \notin U$ . Now, since f is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -setconnected, it has  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j) - \alpha^m$ -coplen set of X. And so,  $f^{-1}(U) \cap A$  is a non-empty proper (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -coplen set of the subset A, this implies that A is not (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space and this is a contradiction. Therefore, f(x) = f(y) and hence  $f|_A: A \to Y$  is constant.

**Theorem 8.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a surjective function, then the following properties hold:

1. If f is contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ connected space.

2. If f is contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous and  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ connected space.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a surjective contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute function and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  an (i, j)- $\alpha^{m}$ -connected space. Suppose that  $(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2})$  is not (i, j)- $\alpha^{m}$ connected. Then, there exist nonempty (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open subsets A and B of Y such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $Y = A \cup B$ . Thus, U = Y - A and V = Y - B are nonempty  $(i, j) - \alpha^m$ -closed subsets of Y such that  $U \cap V = (Y - A) \cap (Y - B) = Y - (A \cup B) =$  $Y - Y = \emptyset$  and  $U \cup V = (Y - A) \cup (Y - B) = Y - (A \cap B) =$  $Y - \emptyset = Y$ . Since f is a contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute function, we have  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open subsets of X and also,  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U \cap V) = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and  $f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U \cup V) = f^{-1}(Y) = X$ . This contradicts the fact that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space. Therefore,  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected. 

The proof of (2) is similar to (1).

**Theorem 9.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -

connected, if each contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous function f:  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , where  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, then f is a constant function.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space and each contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous function f:  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , where  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, is a constant function. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ connected, then there exists a nonempty proper subset A of X which is both (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed. Let  $Y = \{a, b, c\}, \sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\sigma_2 =$  $\{Y, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  be a topologies on Y and f:  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function such that  $f(A) = \{a\}$ and  $f(X-A) = \{b\}$ . Then f is a non-constant contra  $(i, j) - \alpha^m$ continuous function such that  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected space. 

## **4.** (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compactness

In this section, we introduce and study the concepts of (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ compact space and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact space. Throughout this section  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a bitopological space where  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 18.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact if each (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 10.** If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ compact and F is a proper (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set of X, then each (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open cover of F has a finite subcover.

*Proof.* Let  $U = \{U_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open cover of F, then  $U \cup (X - F)$  is  $(i, j) - \alpha^m$ -cover of X. Since X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact,  $U \cup (X - F)$  has a finite subcover V for X. Now a finite subcover for F can be obtained by V easily. 

**Theorem 11.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(i, j) \cdot \alpha^m$ . Since f is onto, then compact if X is pairwise compact and if for each (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover U of X there exists a pairwise open collection associated to Uthat is a cover of X.

*Proof.* Let U be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover of X. By hypothesis, we have a pairwise open cover G associated to U. Si for each (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ open set  $A \in U$ , there exist an  $\tau_i$ -open set  $B \in G$  such that  $B \subset A \subset \tau_i$ -Cl(B). Since X is pairwise compact, G has a finite subcover and then U has a finite subcover. Therefore, X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact

**Theorem 12.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact. Then, for each pairwise open collection G associated to an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ cover of X there exits a finite subcollection  $H \subset G$  such that  $\{\tau_i$ - $Cl(A): A \in H \cap \tau_i, i \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j\}$  covers X.

*Proof.* Let U be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover of X and G be a pairwise open collection associated to U. Since X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact, there exits a finite subcover  $V = \{V_i : i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}\}$  of U. Now, for each (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set  $V_i \in V$ , there exits an  $\tau_i$ -open set  $G_i \subset G$  such that  $G_i \subset V_i \subset \tau_i - Cl(G_i)$ . So  $G_n = \{G_i : i \in I\}$  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}\}$  is a finite subcollection of G. Since V is a subcover of U, this implies that  $\{\tau_i - Cl(G_i) : G_i \in G_n \cap \tau_i, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ covers X.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 13.** If A is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact subset of an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$  space in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $x \in X - A$ , then there is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open set B such that  $A \subset B$  (or there is a set G such that  $x \in G \subset X - B$ ).

*Proof.* Suppose that A is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact subset of X and  $x \in X - A$ . Then, for each  $a \in A$ , there exits disjoint (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets  $U_x$  and  $V_a$  such that  $x \in U_x$  and  $a \in V_a$ . Then, the collection  $\{V_a : a \in A\}$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open covering of A. Since A is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact, there is a finite subcollection  $\{V_{a_1}, V_{a_2}, ... V_{a_n}\}$  of  $(i, j) \text{-} \alpha^m \text{-} \text{open sets covering } A.$  Now, let  $B = \bigcup V_{a_i}$ . Then, clearly B is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open and  $A \subset B$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 14.** (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compactness is preserved under  $\alpha^m$ continuous, open and onto functions.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two bitopological spaces, and let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous, open and onto functions. Now, suppose that  $U^Y = \{U_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover of Y, then  $U^X = \{f^{-1}(U_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\}$  is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -cover of X. Since X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact, there exits a finite subcover  $V^X = \{f^{-1}(U_{\delta_i}) : \delta_i \in \Delta, i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  of  $U^X$  for X. Now, we have that

$$Y = f(X)$$
  
=  $f(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{f^{-1}(U_{\delta_i}) : i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}\})$   
=  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{f(f^{-1}(U_{\delta_i})) : i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}\}$ 

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{ U_{\delta_i} : i \in \{1, 2, \dots n\} \}$$

Therefore, Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -compact.

**Definition 19.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, X is said to be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact if given and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set A of X and a cover  $\{V_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  of A by (i, j)- $\alpha^{m}$ -open sets of X, then there exits a finite subset  $\Delta 0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subset [ \{\alpha^m BCl(V_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta_0)\}, \text{ where } i \neq j.$ 

**Theorem 15.** Let Y be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected, (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact and (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -T<sub>2</sub>. Then,  $f : (X, \tau_i, \tau_j) \rightarrow$  $(Y, \sigma_i, \sigma_j)$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute if and only if it is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ set-connected.

*Proof.* **NECESSITY**: The proof is easy following the Definition. **SUFFICIENCY**: Let f be not (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute. Then, there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set J of Y such that  $f^{1-}(J)$  is not an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -closed set of X. Now, let  $x \in \alpha^m BCl(f^{-1}(J))$  –  $f^{-1}(J)$ . Then X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between  $f^{-1}(J)$  and x. Hence, Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between  $f(f^{-1}(J))$  and f(x). In consequence Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -connected between J and f(x). Since Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ - $T_2$ , for each  $y \in J$  there exits an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ open set  $U_y$  containing y in Y such that  $f(x) \notin \alpha^m BCl(U-y)$ . Then, the family  $\{U_y : y \in J\}$  is a cover of F by (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets of Y. Now, since Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, there exist a finite number of points  $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$  in J such that

 $J \subset \bigcup \alpha^m BCl(U_{y_i}) = U.$  Then, U is  $(i,j)\text{-}\alpha^m\text{-}\mathrm{coplen}$  set of Y since Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -extremally disconnected. Besides,  $f(x) \notin U$  since  $f(x) \in \alpha^m BCl(U_y)$  for any  $y \in J$  an this is a

**Proposition 3.** Let A, B be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact and  $A, B \in$  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ , then  $A \cup B$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact.

contradiction. Hence f is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Since A and B are (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, If we want to prove that  $A \cup B$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, we have to prove that for any  $\tau_i$ - $\alpha^m$ -open which cover  $A \cup B$ , has a finite sub-cover. Now, let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any cover of  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha^m$ -open of  $A \cup B$ . Then,  $A \cup B \subseteq \{ \bigcup U_i : i \in I \}$ , therefore  $A \subseteq \bigcup U_i$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcup U_i$ , this implies that  $\{\bigcup U_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha^m$ -open cover of  $A \cup B$ , where  $i \neq j$ . But, we know that A, B are (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, there exits  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \in I$  and  $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n \in I$ such that  $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_n}\}$  and  $\{U_{t_1}, U_{t_2}, ..., U_{t_n}\}$  is a finite sub-cover of A and B respectively, indeed  $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, ..., U_{i_n}\} \cup$  $\{U_{t_1}, U_{t_2}, ..., U_{t_n}\}$  is a sub-cover of  $A \cup B$ . In consequence,  $A \cup B$  is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact. 

**Theorem 16.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ continuous function, then the image of an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact.

*Proof.* Let X be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact and let f be an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous function. Now, let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any cover  $\tau_i - \alpha^m$ -open of Y, then  $\{f^{-1}(U_i) : i \in I\}$  is a cover  $\tau_i - \alpha^m$ open of X which is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact. And so, there exits  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \in I$  such that  $\{f^{-1}(U_{i_j}) : j = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  is a cover of X and since f is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous, then  $\{U_{i_j} : j =$ 1, 2, ...n is a finite sub-cover of Y. Therefore, Y is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact. 

**Theorem 17.** Let A be  $\tau_i$ -closed of a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact space X, then A is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact.

*Proof.* Let A be  $\tau_i$ -closed of a (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact space X and let  $\square = \{V_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  a cover  $\tau_i \cdot \alpha^m$ -open of a subset  $\tau_i$ -closed B of A. Now, since A is  $\tau_i$ -closed,  $\Box$  is a cover  $\tau_i$ - [7] Granados, C., Conjuntos Pre regular pc-I-abiertos vía ideales sobre espacios  $\alpha^m$ -open of a subset  $\tau_i$ -closed B of A. Therefore,  $B \subset \bigcup \tau_i$ -

 $\alpha^m BCl(V_i)$ . In consequence A is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact. 

**Definition 20.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha^m$ -*C*-compact, if for each subset closed  $A \subset X$  and fore each set  $\alpha^m$ -open which is a cover  $\mathbb{U} = \{U_{\delta} | \delta \in \Delta\}$  of A, there exits a finite sub-collection  $\{U_{\delta_i}|1 \leq i \leq n\}$  de  $\mathbb{U}$ , such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1} Cl_{\alpha^m}(U_{\delta_i})$$

**Theorem 18.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, then  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $\alpha^m$ -C-compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any cover  $\alpha^m$ -open of X, this implies that  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a cover  $\alpha^m$ -open of X and since X is an (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, thus there exits a finite sub-cover of X. Indeed,  $(X, \tau_1)$  is  $\alpha^m$ -C-compact.

The proof of  $(X, \tau_2)$  is similar to  $(X, \tau_1)$ . 

**Theorem 19.** If X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, then X is pairwise C-compact.

*Proof.* Let A be a subset  $\tau_i$ -closed of X, then X - A is  $\tau_i$ -open in X.It is well known that every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\alpha^m$ -open. Then, we have that X - A is  $\alpha^m$ -open set of X. Now, let  $\Box$  a cover  $\tau_i$ -open of A, then  $\sqcap$  is a cover  $\alpha^m$ -open de X. But, since X is (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -C-compact, then there exits a finite sub-family  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_n \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup ... \cup V_n$ . Then,  $A \subset V_1$  $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots \cup V_n \cup (X - A)$ , therefore  $A \subset V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \ldots \cup V_n$ , where  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_n \in \square$ . This implies that X is pairwise compact.  $\square$ 

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the notions of compactness, connected and separation axioms properties by using (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -open sets in bitopological spaces. The results obtained in this paper can allow to make some extensions or study new properties such that para-compactness. Also, these results can be proved in tritopological spaces.

#### References

- [1] Das, s., Das, R., Granados, C., and Mukherjee, M., Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Q-Ideals of Q-Algebra, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems. 41(2021), 52-63.
- [2] Fletcher, P., Hoyle, H. and Patty, W., The comparison of topologies, Duke Math. J. . 36(1969), 325-331.
- [3] Granados, C., Contra (i, j)- $\alpha^m$ -continuous functions in bitopological spaces, Journal of Applied Science and Computations. 7(5)(2020), 64-67.
- Granados, C.,  $\alpha^m$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces, International Journal [4] of Mathematical Analysis. 14(4)(2020), 171-175.
- [5] Granados, C.,  $\omega$ -N- $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\omega$ -N- $\alpha$ -continuity in bitopological spaces, General Letters in Mathematics, 8(2)(2020), 41-50.
- [6] Granados, C., A note on semi-open sets in triclosure spaces, Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science, 11(1)(2021), 769-778.
- topológicos, Ciencia en Desarrollo. 12(1)(2021), 43-53.
- [8] Kelly, J., Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13(3)(1963), 71-89.
- [9] Padma, P., Chandrasekhara, K. and Udayakumar, S., Pairwise SC compact spaces, International Journal of Analysis and Applications. 2(2)(2013), 162-172
- [10] Pervin, W., Connectedness in bitopological spaces, Ind. Math. 29(1967), 369-372.